

Meeting Agenda

Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board 3443 Routier Road, Suite A Sacramento, California

FRIDAY, 11 SEPTEMBER 1998 - 9:00 A.M.

Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board

We would appreciate your filling out an attendance card at the meeting. Filling out the card is voluntary. Items showing times will begin no sooner than indicated. They may, however, be delayed by previous items.

INTRODUCTIONS

- 1. Introductions, pledge of allegiance, and approval of minutes of the 420th Regular Meeting of 24 July 1998
- 2. Public Forum Any member of the public may address the Board on any matter within the Board's jurisdiction and not scheduled for consideration at this meeting 9:00 a.m.

UNCONTESTED CALENDAR

3. The Board will be asked to approve items 11 through 17 with no discussion if no one is here to testify about them; see pages 2 and 3 for description/listing of items - 9:00 a.m.

ENFORCEMENT

- 4. City of Auburn, Wastewater Treatment Plant, Placer County [Jim Eckman (916) 255-3053] Consideration of:
 - a. Revision of Cease and Desist Order
 - b. NPDES Permit Renewal
 - c. Resolution Directing the City of Auburn to Investigate the Feasibility and Pursue Options of Participating in a Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant Facility Agreement

NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) PERMITS

5. Mountain House Community Services District and Trimark Communities, Wastewater Treatment Facility, San Joaquin County (new) [Joseph Henao (916) 255-3028)]

OTHER BUSINESS

6. Glennville Groceries UST Site, 10675 Highway 155, Glennville, Kern County - Consideration of a Resolution Documenting the Need for Prompt Action at this Site and Authorizing the Executive Officer to Request Funds from the Petroleum UST Emergency, Abandoned, and Recalcitrant Account for Implementing Actions Necessary to Protect Public Health, Safety, and the Environment [John Noonan 209-445-5550]

NOTES:

- 1. Items are numbered for identification purposes only and may not be considered in order.
- 2. Persons wishing to introduce item exhibits (i.e., maps, charts, photographs) must leave them with the Board's Executive Assistant. Photographs or slides of large exhibits are acceptable.
- 3. Persons applying for, or actively supporting or opposing, waste discharge requirements before the Board must comply with legal requirements if they or their agents have or propose contributing \$250 or more to a Board member for an election campaign. Contact the Board for details if you fall into this category.
- 4. The Regional Board may meet in closed session to discuss anticipated litigation [Authority: Government Code Section 11126(e)(2)(B) & (C)] and to deliberate on a decision to be reached based upon evidence introduced in a hearing [Authority: Government Code Section 11126(d)].
- 5. All Board files, exhibits, and agenda material pertaining to items on this agenda are hereby made a part of the record.

- 7. The Board will meet in closed session to receive advice of counsel regarding *the initiation of litigation against several* dairies in the Region, and In Re Wilcox, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Case No. 97-17868-A-7 [authorized under Government Code Section 11126(e)]
- 8. Board Member Communications Regional Board Members and the State Board Liaison Member may discuss meetings, communications, correspondence, or other items of general interest relating to matters within the Board's jurisdiction. There will be no voting or formal action taken.
- 9. Executive Officer's Report [This report includes reports as required by Water Code §13271. A listing of pending applications for Water Quality Certifications, pursuant to §401 of the Federal Clean Water Act, may be obtained by calling Susan Wilson at (916) 255-3251].
- 10. Adjourn to the 23 October 1998 meeting in Fresno

Technical questions regarding contested items should be directed to the responsible staff person whose name and direct phone number is indicated by the agenda item. General questions and requests for agenda material should be directed to Inge Clarke at (916) 255-3039. Anyone requiring reasonable accommodation to participate in the meeting, please contact Ms. Clarke at least five days prior to the meeting.

UNCONTESTED ITEMS CALENDAR

Uncontested items are expected to be routine and noncontroversial; recommendations will be acted on without discussion. If any interested party, Board, or staff member requests discussion, the item will be removed from the Uncontested Items Calendar and taken up in the regular agenda order. - 9:00 a.m.

ENFORCEMENT

- 11. Mid Valley Bank, North Valley Bank, and Shell Oil Company, Inc., Tehama County *Consideration of Rescinding Cleanup and Abatement Order* [Craig M. Bunas (530) 224-4801]
- 12. Tri Valley Growers, Oberti Olive Company, Class II Surface Impoundments, Madera County *Consideration of Special Order Modifying Cease and Desist Order No. 94-202* [Stanley Gilbert (209) 445-5652]
- 13. City of West Sacramento, Wastewater Treatment Plant, Yolo County Consideration of Rescission of Cease and Desist Order No. 94-158 [Richard McHenry (916) 255-3055]

NPDES PERMITS

- 14. Uncontested NPDES Permits
 - a. Calaveras Cement Company, Shasta County (revision)

WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS (Land Disposal)

15. Waste Discharge Requirements Rescissions

- a. Tejon Ranch Company, Kern County, Resolution No. 67-7
- b. L.C. Dougan, Sesnon Lease, Poso Creek Oil Field, Kern County, Order No. 74-159
- c. Texaco Oil Company, Lehmann Lease, Kern County, Order No. 74-228
- d. Edith J. Henry, Henry Lease, Fruitvale Oil Field, Kern County, Order No. 77-055.
- e. Chevron U.S.A., Rosedale Ranch Lease, Rosedale Ranch Oil Field, Kern County, Order No. 78-082
- f. Casa Oil Associates, Berry Lease, Edison Oil Field, Kern County, Order No. 92-11006.
- g. Petro Resources, Inc., Portals Lease, Edison Oil Field, Kern County, Order No. 92-11024.
- h. City Oil Corporation, Gerard Lease, Edison Oil Field, Kern County, Order No. 92-11047.
- i. Murietta Soil Technologies, Van Vleck Rancho Sand & Gravel Dompany and Genesis Eco Systems, Inc. Class II Surface Impoundment, Sacramento County, Order No. 95-230

16. Change of Name and/or Ownership for Waste Discharge Requirements

- a. Putah Creek Resort, Lake Berryessa Enterprises, Inc. II, Nick Petsas, dba Putah Creek Resort, and United States Bureau of Reclamation, Napa County
- b. Pilot Travel Center 168, Pilot Corporation, Yolo County
- c. Del Monte Foods Company, City of Woodland, Yolo County
- d. Dunnigan Country Estates and Happy Time RV, Grant Park Development, Inc., Yolo County
- e. City of Sacramento E. A. Fairbairn Water Treatment Plant, City of Sacramento, Sacramento County
- f. River City Recovery Center Altua, River City Recovery Foundation, Inc., Sacramento County

17. Uncontested Waste Discharge Requirements

- a. Resolution Approving the Initial Study and Adopting a Negative Declaration for Tosco Corporation and Unocap, Coalinga Pump Station Hydrotest Water Treatment & Disposal Project, Fresno County
- b. Tosco Corporation and Unocap, Coalinga Pump Station Hydrotest Water Treatment & Disposal Project, Fresno County (new)
- c. Gurdev S., Satinder K., Kuldip S., Harminder K., and Sukhwant K. Thiara, dba Thiara Brothers Orchards, Butte County (new)
- d. G&C Meyer Farms, Inc., Evaporation Basin, Kings County (update)
- e. Tartaric Manufacturing Corporation, Inc., Plant #2, Class II Surface Impoundments, Newman Plant, Stanislaus County (revision)
- f. Stanislaus County Department of Public Works, Fink Road Landfill Facility, Class II and Class III Landfills, Class II Surface Impoundments, Stanislaus County (revision)
- g. Greenhorn Plant, Hansen Brothers Enterprises, Inc., Nevada County (revision)
- h. Resolution Approving the Initial Study and Adopting a Negative Declaration for Louisiana-Pacific Corporation, Soil Bioremediation Project, Red Bluff Class III Woodwaste Landfill, Tehama County
- i. Louisiana-Pacific Corporation, Soil Bioremediation Project and Closure of the Red Bluff Class III Woodwaste Landfill, Tehama County (revision)
- j. City of Colfax, Closure of Colfax Solid Waste Disposal Site, Placer County (revision)

MEETING DATES/LOCATIONS FOR REMAINDER OF 1998

23 October 1998 Fresno Education Department

Auditorium, 2nd Floor Tulare & M Streets

Fresno

11 December 1998 Water Quality Control Board

3443 Routier Road, Suite A

Sacramento

MEETING PROCEDURES

Persons who want to submit written comments or evidence on any agenda item must provide such written documents to the Board office by the date and time specified in the applicable Notice of Public Hearing or Meeting. Comments received by the noticed deadline will be included in the administrative record before the Board. Staff may provide responses to comments. Written testimony or comments submitted after the noticed deadline will not be accepted and will not be incorporated into the administrative record if doing so would prejudice any party. This rule may be modified where a party demonstrates that application of the rule would create severe hardship.

All interested persons may speak at the Board meeting, and may orally summarize their written submittals. Oral testimony will be limited in time by the Board Chair (typically no more than 15 minutes for dischargers and 3 minutes for other interested parties). Oral testimony must be relevant. Groups of speakers will be expected to select a spokesperson and not be repetitive.

The procedure for agenda items that do not require a hearing will be: persons present at the meeting will be given an opportunity to make relevant oral comments on any agenda item, but the Board chair may preclude or limit the time of such comments as necessary for the orderly conduct of business.

Agenda items that require a hearing — that is, agenda items which are conducted by the Board as formal adjudicative proceedings — will not be conducted according to the technical rules of evidence. The Board will accept any evidence or testimony that is reasonably relevant.

Participants in agenda items which require a hearing are either designated parties or other interested persons. Only designated parties will have the right to cross-examination, and may be subject to cross-examination. Interested persons—i.e., nondesignated parties—do not have a right to cross-examination, but may ask the Board to clarify testimony. Interested persons may also be asked to clarify their testimony at the discretion of the Board. The designated parties include:

- Regional Board staff
- Discharger
- Persons directly affected by the discharge

All other persons wishing to testify or provide comments for a hearing item are "interested persons" and not "designated parties". Such interested persons may request status as a designated party for purposes of the hearing by submitting such request in writing to the Board no later than the date specified in the Notice of Public Hearing. The request must explain the basis for status as a designated party and, in particular, how the person is directly affected by the discharge.

After considering evidence, testimony, and comments, the Board may choose to adopt an order regarding a proposed agenda item. Any person aggrieved by an action of the Board may petition the State Water Resources Control Board to review the action within 30 days of the action.